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Reentry is a major challenge for communities across the country

68% of people released from state prisons are rearrested within 3 years

50% of people released from state prisons are reincarcerated within 3 years

SOURCE: Pew Center on the States (2012); Bureau of Justice Statistics (2014)
Employment is an important part of reentry

- Less likely to reoffend
  - Income for Family
    - Fewer Risky Activities
    - Improved Mental Health
  - Pro-social Activities
  - Stronger positive relationships

- Especially when earnings are above minimum wage
- Especially with stable jobs
However, limited research exists on the impact of employment programs on reducing recidivism

- Not everyone with a criminal record need the same services.
  - Need to assess differences in job readiness and likelihood of recidivism

- Just connecting someone to a job has not been shown to reduce recidivism.
  - Need to address people’s underlying needs related to recidivism

- Higher-risk people require cognitive-behavioral interventions, while those services can make lower-risk people worse off.
  - Need to match people to services based on assessments

SOURCE: Latessa, “Why work is important and how to improve the effectiveness of correctional reentry programs that target employment” (2012)
Some employment programs have been able to reduce recidivism

The Center for Employment Opportunities (CEO)
3-Year Impact on Recidivism

Full Sample

Recidivism Rate

- Control Group
- Participants

**Significance level = p<.05

SOURCE: Redcross et al, “More than a job: Final results from the evaluation of the Center for Employment Opportunities (CEO) transitional jobs program” (2012)
Employment programs must consider risk levels when delivering services*

Differences in reconviction rates between CEO program participants and control group (years 1 and 2)†

* Risk levels determined by age and number of prior offenses
† Recidivism impacts for the high risk group were only statistically significant in year 2

SOURCE: Redcross et al, “More than a job: Final results from the evaluation of the Center for Employment Opportunities (CEO) transitional jobs program” (2012)
Despite shared goals, workforce development and reentry fields are often not integrated

**Workforce Development**
- Job readiness assessment
- Address skill deficits that impact employment
- Case management and wraparound support

**Corrections & Reentry**
- Risk/needs assessment
- Address needs that lead to reoffending
- Case management and wraparound support
What are the predictors of recidivism, and how are they measured?

Risk/Needs Assessments

- Administered by corrections agencies
- Measure criminogenic risk factors and specific needs that, if addressed, will reduce the likelihood of future criminal activity
- Help practitioners maximize limited resources

*Past criminality cannot be changed
Why should workforce development professionals care about criminogenic needs?

The “Big 4” criminogenic needs affect one’s ability to find and retain gainful employment

- Attitudes on the job
- Valuation of work
- Lacking self-control/self-regulation
- Having poor problem-solving or coping skills
- Having skills (soft or hard) to succeed on the job

Workforce agencies must address “Big 4” risk factors to see improvements in employment outcomes
What are the predictors of job readiness, and how are they measured?

**Employability Skills Framework**

- Applied Academic Skills
- Interpersonal Skills
- Critical Thinking Skills
- Effective Relationships
- Workplace Skills
- Personal Qualities
- Resources Management
- Technology Use
- Information Use
- Systems Thinking
- Communication Skills

No national consensus on defining or assessing job readiness

Employability skills are general skills necessary for success in the labor market at all employment levels, across all sectors.
Why should *corrections/reentry professionals* care about job readiness factors?

**Dynamic Predictors of Recidivism** (common among higher-risk individuals)

- Presence of Antisocial Behavior
- Antisocial Personality Pattern
- Antisocial Cognition
- Antisocial Associates
- Poor Family and/or Marital Relationships
- Low levels of performance and satisfaction in work/school
- Lack of pro-social leisure activities
- Substance Abuse

The “Big 4” Risk Factors

**Job-Readiness/ Employability Factors**

- Low education Level
- Lack of vocational skills
- Limited work experience
- Lack of “soft job skills”
- Negative attitudes about work
- Unrealistic expectations about work
- Logistical barriers to employment
- Physical health
Integrated Reentry and Employment Strategies (IRES) white paper released in 2013
By sorting people based on risk and job readiness, we can connect them to appropriate employment services.
Two pilot sites selected to test the IRES framework at a systems-level

Milwaukee Co., WI

Palm Beach Co., FL
Goals of the IRES pilot project is to ensure people are prepared for work

- Bridge workforce development and corrections / reentry fields
- Match people to employment services using assessment results
Technical assistance approach

- **Lead applicant:** Palm Beach County Criminal Justice Commission (CJC)
- **Lead consultant:** Council of State Governments (CSG) Justice Center
- Support from expert partners

- Three-year project
- $300,000 in in-kind technical assistance and training
Technical assistance activities

Year One (completed)
Information Gathering and Planning

Year Two
Implementation

Year Three
Evaluation
Universe of people returning to Palm Beach County (PBC) from prison or jail (2014)

- Sago Palm Reentry Center
- Florida Dept. of Corrections (FL DOC) facilities
- County jails

Community-Based Reentry Provider

- 61
- 881
- 2,575

Palm Beach County
46% of people released to PBC from FL DOC facilities and county jails return to West Palm Beach.

Map represents return zip codes of 3,517 people released to PBC from FL DOC facilities and county jails in 2014.
Role of Criminal Justice Commission (CJC) in connecting people to reentry services

CJC Functions:
1. Contract with providers for service delivery
2. Coordinate assessment and referral processes
3. Engage and enroll people in programming pre-release
4. Maintain database to track individual outcomes

CJC connected 300 new people to reentry services in 2014

Community-Based Reentry and Workforce Service Providers
- CareerSource
- Gulfstream Goodwill Industries
- The Lord’s Place
- Riviera Beach Justice Services Center
Scope of IRES pilot project in PBC and key research questions

~3,500 people released from FL DOC facilities and county jails each year into PBC
- What are their risk levels and service needs?

~300 new people enrolled each year in CJC-contracted employment programming
- What are the assessment and referral processes?

3 contracted community-based reentry service providers + workforce development board offer employment programming
- Who are providers best equipped to serve?
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CJC process for engaging and enrolling people returning to PBC in employment programming

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGAGEMENT</th>
<th>ENROLLMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sago Palm Reentry Center</strong></td>
<td>(52 enrolled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full risk assessment administered by provider/facility staff</td>
<td>Enrolled pre-release in continuum of services with assigned provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FL DOC facilities</strong></td>
<td>(181 enrolled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-administered risk assessment proxy sent via letter from CJC</td>
<td>Referral letter sent by CJC for enrollment post-release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>County jails</strong></td>
<td>(67 enrolled)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk assessment proxy administered by provider/facility staff</td>
<td>Provider assigned for reentry planning pre-release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9% of people returning to PBC from FL DOC facilities and county jails enroll in services through CJC.
Program enrollment rates are highest for releases from Sago Palm Reentry Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Enrollment Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sago Palm Reentry Center</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>85% (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL DOC facilities</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>21% (181)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County jails</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>3% (67)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Short jail stays impact program staff’s ability to contact all eligible people about programming. In 2014, 135 people were contacted (5% of the total being released). About 50% of those contacted enrolled in programming.

Data represents 2014 releases
Between Oct-Dec 2015, 75% of people in FL DOC facilities that received a letter responded

- In October 2015, CJC started tracking response rates for “letters of reentry service interest” sent to people in FL DOC facilities

- Between Oct-Dec 2015, 300 letters sent
  - 233 people (75%) responded, 173 were assessed at moderate or high risk of reoffending
CJC contracted services are prioritized for people assessed at a higher risk of reoffending.

Risk profiles of 2014 release to PBC that enrolled in services:

- **98% of people enrolled are higher risk**
  - Low-Moderate (46, 18%)
  - Moderate (160, 63%)
  - Moderate-High (38, 15%)
  - High (4, 2%)

- **2% of people enrolled are lower risk**
  - Low (4, 2%)

*Risk scores missing for 48 people (16%)*
Key takeaways and opportunities

- CJC-contracted community-based reentry providers administer risk and needs assessments pre- or post-release.

- Program enrollment is prioritized for people assessed at a high risk of re-offending.

- Of all people returning to PBC from FL DOC facilities and county jails, only 9 percent (300 new people) enrolled in programming through the CJC in 2014.

- Enrollment rates are highest for people returning from Sago Palm Reentry Center, where community-based reentry service providers begin programming pre-release.
Robust landscape of community-based agencies, including faith-based, offering critical services

- Substance Abuse
- Housing
- Legal Assistance
- Mental Health
- Mentoring
- Employment
- Parenting
Robust landscape of community-based agencies, including faith-based, offering critical services

- Substance Abuse
- Mental Health
- Mentoring
- Employment
- Housing
- Legal Assistance
- Parenting
CJC contracts with 3 reentry providers and partners with workforce board to deliver employment services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career Source*</th>
<th>The Lord’s Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Sol Jupiter Neighborhood</td>
<td>Matthew 25 Ministries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Center</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Training Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Riviera Beach Justice Services Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulfstream Goodwill Industries</td>
<td>The School District of Palm Beach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus and You (J.A.Y.) Outreach</td>
<td>Team of Hope</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contract not needed for services
Reentry providers and workforce board make referrals to other providers for specialized services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career Source*</th>
<th>The Lord’s Place</th>
<th>El Sol Jupiter Neighborhood Resource Center</th>
<th>Matthew 25 Ministries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>transitional job opportunities</em></td>
<td><em>homeless services</em></td>
<td><em>serves immigrant population</em></td>
<td><em>serves people convicted of sex offenses</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Training Services, Inc.</td>
<td>Riviera Beach Justice Services Center</td>
<td><em>apprenticeship opportunities</em></td>
<td><em>serves people returning to Riviera Beach</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>serves people with disabilities</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jesus and You (J.A.Y.) Outreach Ministries</td>
<td>The School District of Palm Beach County</td>
<td><em>faith-based services</em></td>
<td><em>GED preparation services</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team of Hope</td>
<td></td>
<td><em>serves people returning to Boynton Beach</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contract not needed for services*
Reentry providers and workforce board are accessible to most high density release areas

Map represents return zip codes of 3,517 people released to PBC from FL DOC facilities and county jails in 2014.

Pins indicate locations of 3 contracted community-based reentry service providers and county workforce board.
LSI-r assessment includes Education / Employment subdomain to assess job readiness

- **LSI-R assessment: Education/Employment Domain**
  - Employment: Current employment; History of unemployment; Reasons for termination
  - Education: Highest grade level; Suspensions or expulsions
  - Social: Peer interactions, Authority interactions
Employment-related questions in the LSI-r are relevant, but have limitations

- **LSI-R assessment: Education/Employment Domains**
  - Employment: Current employment; History of unemployment; Reasons for termination
  - Education: Highest grade level; Suspensions or expulsions
  - Social: Peer interactions, Authority interactions

**Education/Employment Need Score**

(2014 participants)

- More Job-Ready (includes Low and Low-Moderate Need scores)
- Less Job-Ready (includes Moderate, Moderate-High, and High Need scores)

- **Broad measures indicate need, but not nuanced about specific skill gaps**
- Relatively static measures do not demonstrate progress at standard and consistent intervals

* Risk and need scores missing for 68 people (23%)
Reentry providers and workforce board supplement LSI-r with post-release assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Vocational training</th>
<th>Work history (number of jobs, duration)</th>
<th>Current employment / earnings</th>
<th>Job skills</th>
<th>Personal goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Career Source (American Job Center and PREPARE)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulfstream Goodwill Industries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Lord’s Place</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riviera Beach Justice Services Center</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How workforce services are delivered should be based primarily on risk of recidivism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Avoid intensive engagement and case management</td>
<td>Intensive case management and use of cognitive-behavioral approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing</td>
<td>Timing is still important, but less of a priority for lower-risk individuals</td>
<td>Connect with individuals shortly after release from jail/prison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives</td>
<td>Incentives are less of a priority and need for lower-risk individuals</td>
<td>Enhance motivation through communication and incentives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination</td>
<td>Community supervision should not be intensive, and supervision officers do not have to play as active role</td>
<td>Work closely with community supervision officers, who can assist with intensive engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured Time</td>
<td>Avoid disrupting existing pro-social ties</td>
<td>Structure time in a pro-social environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Council of State Governments Justice Center
What employment services are delivered should be based primarily on job readiness

More Job-Ready

Primary Focus: Finding and Retaining Employment
- Non-transitional Subsidized Employment
- Job Development and Coaching
- Retention and Advancement Services
- Financial Work Incentives

Less Job-Ready

Primary Focus: Promoting Job Readiness
- Education and Training
- Soft-/Cognitive-Skill Development
- Transitional Job Placements
- Non-skill-Related Interventions
Considerations with matching people to reentry service providers and workforce board

- Review of reentry providers and workforce board is based on a point in time analysis, and services may change based on funding.

- Reentry providers and workforce board could partner to provide more comprehensive service packages to other risk-need groupings.

- With added capacity or slight changes, some reentry providers and/or workforce board will be equipped to serve other risk-need groupings.

- Job readiness should change over time, so a person could move from one set of reentry providers or workforce board to another over the course of programming.
Reentry providers and workforce board specialize in offering targeted services based on risk.

* Only offered to PBC jail population
Current services and way they are delivered does not meet the needs of people returning to PBC

Grouping Definitions
Lower Risk: Score low risk on LSI-R assessment
Higher Risk: Score low-moderate, moderate, moderate-high, or high on LSI-R assessment
More Ready: Score low or low-medium on LSI-R assessment Education/Employment domain
Less Ready: Score medium, medium-high, or high on LSI-R assessment Education/Employment domain

* Only offered to PBC jail population
Reentry providers and workforce board may serve additional people with relatively minor changes*

* Changes may include the addition of cognitive programming, training opportunities, creation of clear services tracks, or the allocation of additional funds.

* Only offered to PBC jail population
Current data collected and timing of collection makes program impact evaluations difficult

- **Phase I (Pre-Release Services)**
  - Completion: post-release enrollment

- **Phase II (Identification and Basic Needs)**
  - Completion: obtain identification and public benefits (as needed)

- **Phase III (Housing and Treatment)**
  - Completion: obtain housing and complete treatment outlined in transition plan

- **Phase IV (Employment)**
  - Completion: obtain verifiable employment

- **Phase V (Maintenance and Sustainability)**
  - Completion: maintain employment and housing for six months
Key takeaways and opportunities

- CJC facilitates high levels of coordination among reentry providers and workforce board.

- Not all reentry providers or the workforce board deliver services to target underlying criminogenic needs.

- Employment programming is not consistently targeted to people’s assessed level of job readiness.

- Risk and needs assessment information is maintained in a database accessible to reentry providers and workforce board.
  - The data collected makes program impact evaluations difficult.
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1. Formalize system-level process for referring people to reentry services based on risk, and build capacity

- **Lower-risk people**
  - Traditional workforce provider(s)
  - Service track for more-job-ready people
  - Service track for less-job-ready people

- **Higher-risk people**
  - Reentry providers with intensive cognitive-based programming
  - Service track for more-job-ready people
  - Service track for less-job-ready people
1. Formalize system-level process for referring people to reentry services based on risk, and build capacity

- Formalize partnership with CareerSource for referring people at lower risk of reoffending

- Build capacity of contracted reentry service providers to meet the needs of people at higher risk of reoffending

- Ensure service contracts are aligned with evidence-based principles

- Develop protocols for cross-program referrals
  - Providers conduct LSI-r proxy for “walk-ins” and refer to appropriate reentry provider or workforce board based on results
  - Establish case management protocols that can be facilitated across reentry providers and workforce board
2. Ensure providers are equipped to deliver differentiated services based on assessed job readiness

- Partner with business community/industry associations to define what it means to be “job ready”

- Establish protocol for provider-level job readiness assessments

- Develop differentiated service tracks at the program-level based on job readiness

- Ensure service contracts are aligned with core components of effective workforce programming
3. Increase enrollment rates in employment services contracted through CJC

- Request risk assessment data from FL DOC
- Explore opportunities for increased pre-release engagement of people in FL DOC facilities, such as video conferencing
4. Define data tracking intervals and ensure consistent reporting

- Redefine “completion” as a data tracking tool
  - Develop standard intervals for reporting

- Ensure consistent use of database among reentry providers and workforce board for tracking referrals, assessments, progress, and service delivery
Summary of recommendations

- Formalize system-level process for referring people to reentry services based on risk, and build capacity for serving higher-risk people
- Ensure providers are equipped to deliver differentiated services based on assessed job readiness
- Increase enrollment rates in contracted employment services
- Define data tracking intervals and ensure consistent reporting
Technical assistance activities

Year One (completed)
Information Gathering and Planning

Year Two
Implementation

Year Three
Evaluation
Questions?

To receive newsletters and other announcements, please visit our website:

www.csgjusticecenter.org/subscribe

Stephanie Akhter, Project Manager, sakhter@csg.org
Erica Nelson, Policy Analyst, enelson@csg.org

The presentation was developed by members of the Council of State Governments Justice Center staff. The statements made reflect the views of the authors, and should not be considered the official position of the Justice Center, the members of the Council of State Governments, or the funding agency supporting the work. Citations available for statistics presented in preceding slides available on CSG Justice Center web site.