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Justice Reinvestment
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Justice Reinvestment

a data-driven approach to reduce corrections spending and reinvest savings in strategies that can decrease crime and strengthen neighborhoods.
Oklahoma Justice Reinvestment Process

Analyze Data & Develop Policy Options

Collect & examine quantitative data
- Reported crime & arrests
- Court dispositions & sentencing
- DA supervision
- Probation and parole supervision
- Prison admissions, population & releases

Engage stakeholders
- Law enforcement
- Judges
- Prosecutors
- Defense bar
- Victim advocates/survivors
- County officials
- Supervision agencies
- Behavioral health & treatment providers

Develop & present a comprehensive analysis of the state’s criminal justice system

Develop a framework of policy options that together would increase public safety and reduce/avert taxpayer spending

June-October    July-October    November-January
Past Justice Reinvestment States
1. **Violent crime** is unacceptably high; the number of police per capita in three of the largest cities has declined.

2. **Supervision for felony offenders is declining:** fewer people are being supervised after release from prison.

3. **The prison population is growing,** and more spending will be required to increase capacity unless the population growth is managed.
Violent Index Crimes Reported to Police in Oklahoma Remained High

Violent Crime Rate & Law Enforcement Staffing Per Capita
2000-2010

Percent Change in Violent Crime Rate & Law Enforcement Staffing Per Capita

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Percent Change in Violent Crime Rate</th>
<th>Percent Change in Law Enforcement Staffing Per Capita</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma City</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tulsa</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norman</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Violent crime is unacceptably high; the number of police per capita in three of the largest cities has declined.

2. Supervision for felony offenders is declining: fewer people are being supervised after release from prison.

3. The prison population is growing, and more spending will be required to increase capacity unless the population growth is managed.
More and More People are Being Released Unsupervised

The number of offenders released to parole dropped in half 51% released unsupervised in 2010

Rearrests Within 36 Months of Release

7,693
Unique Releases from DOC Facilities during FY2007

4,087
Offenders Rearrested

3,606
Offenders Not Rearrested in 36 Months

1,999
1,298
790
Year 1
Year 2
Year 3

53%
Arrested within 3 years of release

47%
Not arrested within 3 years of release

OKDOC and OSBI raw data files of 2007 Prison Releases
1. Violent crime is unacceptably high; the number of police per capita in three of the largest cities has declined.

2. Supervision for felony offenders is declining: fewer people are being supervised after release from prison.

3. The prison population is growing, and more spending will be required to increase capacity unless the population growth is managed.
Oklahoma’s Prison Population is Growing

1996 - 2010: +34%
2000 - 2010: +15%

1,323 offenders were backlogged in jail

OK: OKDOC Annual Reports 2009 and 2010
National: Sourcebook of criminal justice statistics
Estimate of Growth in Prison Population
(Driven by accumulation of 85% offenders)

- 85% Population
  - Assumes 1% increase in annual admissions for 85% crimes, but population growth is driven by the accumulation of offenders serving much longer than they have historically.

- Non-85% Population
  - Assumes the annual population of offenders incarcerated for non-85% offenses remains constant at 2011 levels.
  - This is not a projection of the non-85% population, but rather a static estimate. This share of the population may increase or decrease depending on any change in admissions or length of stay.

Oklahoma Department of Corrections, Entry and Exits, FY2005 to FY2011.
Most People Admitted to Prison in 2010 Had Many Prior Arrests, But Some Had Relatively Few

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oklahoma Statute Defined Violent Crimes</th>
<th>2010 Oklahoma DOC Admissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma Statute Defined Violent Crimes</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property and Other Public Order Crimes</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Crimes</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lifetime Arrest Events (including current arrest)

OSBI Arrest Data and Oklahoma Department of Corrections 2010 Reception Data
1. Violent crime is unacceptably high; the number of police per capita in three of the largest cities has declined.

2. Supervision for felony offenders is declining: fewer people are being supervised after release from prison.

3. The prison population is growing, and more spending will be required to increase capacity unless the population growth is managed.
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