Behavioral Health Justice Reinvestment in Oregon

Steering Committee

Wednesday, January 9

Steve Allen, Senior Policy Advisor
Cassondra Warney, Senior Policy Analyst
Overview of the Project

The Behavioral Health Justice Reinvestment (BHJR) project is grounded in the shared interest among local, regional, tribal, and state governments to address challenges related to people with serious behavioral health conditions cycling through Oregon’s criminal justice and health systems.

Summary of December Meeting

The second presentation in late December to Oregon’s BHJR Steering Committee prompted discussion that enabled the committee to reach agreement on a project framework that is the basis for the discussion today.
Agreed Upon Project Framework from 12/20 Meeting

Improving individual and system outcomes for people cycling through Oregon’s criminal justice and health systems can be achieved through a set of commitments and coordinated actions with performance measurement strategies:

1. **Support and services.** Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

2. **Evaluation, accountability, and innovation.** Build a statewide system of continuous program quality improvement.

3. **Funding strategies.** Establish a system of shared financing to sustainably support these programs.
1. Support and services

Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for people with frequent criminal justice involvement.

1. Invest in a strong and flexible community supports and services model, anchored in what works for the target population.

2. Support local, regional, and tribal governments in building upon their existing efforts.

3. Develop a limited but critical set of statewide technical assistance services to support communities in designing, requesting, and implementing program services and supports.

4. Strengthen Oregon’s workforce to provide the professionals and para-professionals needed for programming, supports, and services.
Build a statewide system of strong and responsive local programs.

1. Establish a statewide system of tracking simple, clear, and meaningful program outcome measures that inform practice and program strategy.

2. Create policy that requires appropriate multiagency and multidisciplinary program information sharing, removing barriers while ensuring data protections.

3. Develop IT infrastructure sufficient to efficiently collect and disseminate program data.

4. Establish a system of continuous quality improvement and promote ongoing innovation.
Establish a system of shared financing between the state and local jurisdictions to sustainably support these programs.

1. Promote increased flexibility within existing funding streams to reduce unhelpful administrative burden.

2. Establish additional state funding.

3. Work aggressively to leverage federal participation for supports and services reimbursable through Medicaid.

4. Create a formula to match state general funds with local and regional investments.
Discussion for Today

Understanding Behavioral Health

Building the Framework for Effective Behavioral Health Services in Populations with Frequent Criminal Justice Involvement

1. Support and services
   A. Establishing oversight structures
   B. Defining effective services
   C. Understanding the current workforce and future needs
   D. Statewide assistance

2. Evaluation, accountability, and innovation
   A. Quality improvement

3. Funding strategies
   A. Leverage federal participation for services reimbursable through Medicaid

Next Steps
Discussion for February

- Analysis from the jail / community corrections / Medicaid / state hospital match

- Financing approach

- Housing

- Accountability, evaluation and innovation

- Bill draft language
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National data reflect the challenges in Oregon related to high percentages of people with mental illnesses and substance addictions in its criminal justice systems.

Multiple factors contribute to the high prevalence of people with behavioral health conditions in criminal justice systems.

- Arrested at disproportionately higher rates
- Limited access to health care
- Stay longer in jail and prison
- Low utilization of EBPs
- High recidivism rates
- More criminogenic risk factors
- Homelessness
Trauma is strongly associated with mental illnesses, substance addictions, and incarceration.

**Substance Addiction**

At least **two-thirds** of men and women entering substance addiction treatment report childhood abuse and neglect.

**Mental Illness**

**90 percent** of men and women in psychiatric hospitals have been exposed to trauma.

**Women**

**50%-98%** of women who are incarcerated experienced childhood trauma.

**Men**

At least **52%** of men who are incarcerated experienced childhood physical abuse.

The Oregon county jail and community corrections analysis found that people with Frequent Criminal Justice Involvement (FCJI) booked into jail who were also on active community supervision had high rates of substance-related problems.

104,776 Booking Events in 2017

Bookings NOT Involving FCJI
74,724

17,414
(23% of non-FCJI bookings)

33%

On supervision at time of booking

Bookings Involving FCJI
30,052

9,935
(33% of FCJI bookings)

62%

This represents 6,145 booking events involving FCJI people who were on active community corrections caseloads and known as high risk.

81% of these bookings involved people assessed as having high or very high needs (LSCMI).

68% involved people assessed as having high or very high alcohol/drug problem area (LSCMI).

Source: CSG analysis of calendar year 2017 jail bookings data from Clackamas, Deschutes, Gilliam, Hood River, Jackson, Marion, Morrow, Multnomah, Sherman, Umatilla, Wasco, and Washington counties. Hood River, Gilliam, Sherman and Wasco counties are represented by NORCOR jail; CSG analysis of calendar years 2013-17 Community Corrections data from ODOC.
People on community supervision or in jail have much higher rates of co-occurring disorders than the general population.

In a recent Canadian study, rates of co-occurring disorders were triple in the population of people with frequent justice involvement and corresponded to much higher average days in custody and combined health care and criminal justice costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All N=14,372</th>
<th>Frequent Supervision N=216</th>
<th>Frequent Custody N=107</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of co-occurring disorders</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average days in custody (5 yr)</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>158.4</td>
<td>590.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total average corrections and health care costs (5 yr)</td>
<td>$53,003</td>
<td>$168,389</td>
<td>$246,899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Study**: 14,372 Vancouver, B.C. residents with Provincial Court involvement
- Reviewed frequency and costs associated across corrections, health, and social welfare services

While having both mental illness and substance addiction worsens health and criminal justice outcomes, national data finds that few people with co-occurring disorders receive appropriate treatment.

Receipt of Mental Health Services and Specialty Substance Use Treatment in the Past Year among Adults Aged 18 or Older with Past Year Serious Mental Illness and Substance Addictions

- MH Services and Specialty Substance Use Treatment: 11.80%
- Specialty Substance Use Treatment Only: 2.60%
- MH Services Only: 49.60%
- No treatment: 36%

Only 11.8% of people received treatment for both.

Co-occurring mental illnesses and addictions multiply the impacts of the other disorder
- Reduced treatment effect
- Poorer psychosocial functioning
- Higher number of days in treatment
- Higher rates of treatment attrition
- More treatment admissions
- Higher disease burden from both the mental illness and substance addiction

Integrated treatments for people with co-occurring disorders (CODs) is more effective in improving both health and criminal justice outcomes.

A unique behavioral health treatment approach is required when a person has a serious mental illness combined with a substance addiction.

Integrated treatments simultaneously attend to both sets of disorders, consider them both primary conditions, and adhere to consistent philosophies and treatment plans.

COD treatment approaches have been shown to be more effective than treating them separately.

People with CODs are more likely to be arrested, violate conditions of community supervision, and commit acts of violence.

In the criminal justice population, receiving COD treatment while incarcerated is correlated with significantly reduced recidivism rates. Reduced recidivism is maximized when they receive treatment in both prison and community settings.

While integrated treatment for co-occurring disorders is more effective than treatment for only one disorder or treatments performed separately, there are multiple systemic barriers to providing integrated treatment in Oregon.

The barriers to providing COD treatment in OR are similar to most states, and include both agencies and individual providers.

The burden falls on the agencies and the individual providers to establish and maintain dual licensure as a mental health specialist and substance addiction treatment provider.

Reimbursement rates are not designed to support or incentivize provision of such services.

- No salary incentive for providers
- No funding incentive for agencies
Adhering to the Risk-Need-Responsivity (RNR) principles is central to improving criminal justice outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Implications for Supervision and Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk Principle</strong></td>
<td>Focus resources on higher <strong>RISK</strong> individuals; limited supervision of lower <strong>RISK</strong> individuals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Needs Principle</strong></td>
<td>Target the <strong>NEEDS</strong> associated with recidivism such as antisocial attitudes, antisocial associates, unemployment, substance addiction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Responsivity Principle</strong></td>
<td>General and specific factors impact the effectiveness of treatment. Be <strong>RESPONSIVE</strong> to learning style, motivation, culture, demographics, and abilities of the offender</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Improving health and public safety outcomes requires states to address four key challenges at a systemic and statewide level.

1. **Improve identification** of people who have behavioral health needs in the criminal justice system.

2. **Ensure access to** a comprehensive array of treatment and services.

3. **Increase effectiveness** of treatment to improve public safety and health outcomes.

4. **Strengthen collaboration** between behavioral health and criminal justice agencies at the state and local level.
Improve identification of people who have behavioral health needs in the criminal justice system.

We can’t treat what we don’t identify. All too often, the criminal justice system doesn’t screen and assess for behavioral health needs. Data must always be collected, recorded, and shared at every point in the criminal justice system.
Ensure access to a comprehensive array of treatment and services.

People with complex needs require a broad range of supports and services to overcome barriers and to address criminogenic and behavioral health needs.

Common Access Challenges:
- Funding limitations
- Practical barriers (transportation, housing, etc.)
- Workforce and capacity shortages
- Waiting lists
- Provider reluctance
- Reimbursement rates
- Regional shortages
Increase effectiveness of treatment to improve public safety and health outcomes.

To improve public health and safety outcomes, services must be targeted to the right people, be evidence based, and of high quality.

### Key Principles and Practices for Increasing Program and Treatment Effectiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Principle</th>
<th>Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1️⃣     | **WHO:** target the right people based on risk | ✓ Assess risk  
✓ Program based on risk  
✓ Address multiple needs |
| 2️⃣     | **WHAT:** rely on effective programs | ✓ Use research  
✓ Integrate services  
✓ Intensity and speed  
✓ Offer a continuum |
| 3️⃣     | **HOW WELL:** implement with quality and fidelity | ✓ Implement consistently  
✓ Ensure fidelity  
✓ Evaluate programs  
✓ Train staff |
Increase effectiveness of treatment to improve public safety and health outcomes.

Risk-needs assessments combined with behavioral health assessments help ensure that people receive the types and intensities of interventions needed to improve outcomes.
Effective interventions for people in the criminal justice system who have behavioral health challenges address both criminogenic and health needs.

Core Treatment Competencies Required for Behavioral Health and Recidivism-Reduction Improvements

- Addressed individually, these categories of care have minimal impact on recidivism reduction.
- Addressed together, these categories of care improve behavioral health and reduce criminal behavior.
Increase effectiveness of treatment to improve public safety and health outcomes.

For people working with people in criminal justice systems, there are sets of fundamental knowledge and skills essential to improving outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core correctional practices</th>
<th>Behavioral health</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Effective use of authority</td>
<td>• Basics of addiction and mental health</td>
<td>• Motivational Enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Appropriate modeling and reinforcement</td>
<td>• Mental Health First Aid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Skill building and problem-solving strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Effective use of community resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Relationship factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive behavioral Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems of ensuring fidelity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culturally responsive approaches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trauma-informed approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Engagement

• Motivational Enhancement
Increase effectiveness of treatment to improve public safety and health outcomes.

Because people with complex conditions often are engaged by multiple agencies at various intercepts, improving outcomes depends on a broad, multifaceted training approach.

Law enforcement
Dispatch
Crisis staff

Courts
Prosecutors
Defense attorneys
Diversion programs

Jail staff
Treatment personnel

Reentry staff
Community Supervision
Community Supports and Service staff

Adherence can be supported through a combination of regulation, standards, technology, and funding.
Strengthen collaboration between behavioral health and criminal justice agencies at the state and local level.

When agencies communicate, collaborate, and coordinate, a person with behavioral health needs is more likely to move smoothly through the system and have their needs more comprehensively addressed.
Strengthen collaboration between behavioral health and criminal justice agencies at the state and local level.

Data and case information sharing across behavioral health and criminal justice systems is crucial to delivering effective care to people but is often impeded by structural barriers.

Data sharing for people in both criminal justice and behavioral health systems is necessary for

- Ensuring continuity of care;
- Eliminating duplication;
- Applying consistency in assessment, evaluation, and case planning; and
- Evaluating outcomes.

Policymakers and practitioners are often stymied by persistent beliefs about the impossibility of data sharing, such as

- No one can access addiction information;
- Only health care providers can share personal health information (“PHI”); and
- You always need a patient’s authorization to release information.
The remaining slides will be used to facilitate resource and policy discussions to build upon the agreed upon project framework from the 12/20 Oregon Behavioral Health Justice Reinvestment Steering Committee meeting.
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   B. Defining effective services
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   D. Statewide assistance
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   A. Quality improvement

3. Funding strategies
   A. Leverage federal participation for services reimbursable through Medicaid

Next Steps
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

The new program will require oversight which could be accomplished through a standing committee that receives assistance through the establishment of standing and task-specific subcommittees.

§ BHJR Committee
Standing multiagency committee charged with project oversight

- Reviews, approves applications
- Monitors grantee performance
- Generates reports to legislature
- Links with existing committees and task forces
- Oversees standing and interim subcommittees

Subcommittee 1
Subcommittee 2
Subcommittee 3
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

A critical role for the BHJR committee would be to oversee the program application and granting processes.

§ BHJR Committee
Standing multiagency committee charged with project oversight

Application process
• Funding attached to a grant process
• Counties, regional consortiums, and tribal governments eligible to submit proposals
• Process designed to allow potential grantees to “opt in”

Includes commitments by the applicant
• Multiagency signatories
• Financial and other commitments
• Data requirements
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

The new program oversight structures granting authority and process should be established in legislation, along with funding necessary to accomplish these functions.

§ Statute change

Establish program oversight structure requirements
• Oversight committee authority
• Oversight committee composition

Establish key granting parameters
• Define target population and program goals
• Identify eligible entities to receive grant funding

Set minimum legislative reporting requirements

$ Funding request

• Ensure sufficient funds to staff key committee(s)
• Provide funds for committee member travel, per diem, training, and communication technology
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Next Steps
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

The BHJR Committee, in consultation with relevant subcommittee(s), would define an evolving set of supports and services authorized for funding under the program.

**BHJR Committee**
Approves grant applications

**Subcommittee**
- Updates service “menus” based on evaluations and research
- Provides feedback to jurisdictions on program performance
- Approves “off the menu” requests

**Tier 1: Foundational Services**
*Oregon Performance Plan*

**Tier 2: Additional Services and Supports**

**Tier 3: Emerging Services**
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

Given the anticipated crossover between the program’s high utilizer and Oregon’s Performance Plan (OPP) populations, it is essential that communities establish sufficient access to OPP services.

Tier 1: Foundational Services *(Oregon Performance Plan)*
- Assertive community treatment
- Mobile crisis services
- Supported housing
- Supported employment
- Peer-delivered services
- Transitional services *(OSH + ED)*

**Oregon Performance Plan**

In 2012, Oregon entered into agreement with the U.S. DOJ to increase access to community-based services for people with severe and persistent mental illnesses.

Expanded community-based services are designed to:
- Improve transitions to more integrated settings
- Increase number of people successfully integrated in community
- Avoid incarceration and unnecessary hospitalization
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

A set of additional authorized funded supports and services would be established based on strong evidence of effectiveness for the target population.

Tier 2:
Additional Services and Supports

Examples of additional services and supports

- Care coordination
- Case management
- Transportation
- Medications
- Co-payments
- Employment supports
- Workforce
- Training
- Supportive Housing
- Other housing options
- Crisis Units
- Sobering/Detox Centers
- Pretrial supervision
- Bench probation
- Specialized probation

CSG Justice Center | 38
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

The BHJR committee would establish a process for reviewing requests for funding services with compelling justifications but that are not included in Tiers 1 or 2.

Tier 3: Emerging Services

Specialized or Emerging Practice Services

- Evidence-based or promising practice
- Targeted to population
- Seen as critical to facilitate other needed supports and services

Subcommittee
Reviews and provides recommendations to BHJR committee regarding grantee requests to fund specialized or emerging practice services
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

To help ensure program funds are expended effectively, the BHJR committee should be given clear responsibility and authority to determine the types of supports and services to be funded under this program and to assist grantees in prioritizing supports and services mostly likely to help grantees reach their outcome goals.

§ Statute change
Establish BHJR committee authority and process to determine the types of supports and services authorized to receive program funding

§ Funding request
Service funding recommendations included in funding section
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There are significant behavioral health workforce and recruitment and retention challenges across the state.

Key Takeaways from Heath Share of Oregon Market Rate Study, 2017
Tri-County Region (includes Clackamas, Multnomah and Washington Counties)

**Inability to meet patient demand.** Since the expansion of Medicaid in 2014, behavioral health providers have had challenges hiring and retaining sufficient clinical workforces to meet patient demand.

**Gaps between current payment rates and payment costs.** Health Share’s combined mental health and addictions payment rates are between 7% and 19% below current costs, including clinician compensation and overhead. The gap is significantly larger for addiction services—payment rates are 25% to 39% below costs.

**Difficultly in providing salaries that are competitive.** Nonprofit behavioral health clinics in the Tri-County Region compete with local hospitals and government clinics to hire and retain staff. Other contributing factors affecting staff retention include low pay, high patient no-shows, high caseloads, and the inability to meet the needs of existing clients who are being underserved.

**Health Share Provider Network clinician salaries and network benefits are not competitive.** Clinician salaries in the Health Share Behavioral Health Provider Network are currently 1.9% below median salaries in the region and 20.8% below salaries at the 75th percentile. Clinician benefits in the Health Share Behavioral Health Provider Network are currently 27.4% below median benefits of a comparison group of Health and Social Service Organizations and 35.8% below Hospital benefit rates.
A behavioral health workforce includes a wide range of position types and training.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical Prescribers (Requires license)</th>
<th>Professional Clinical Positions (Requires license)</th>
<th>Paraprofessional and Non-traditional Positions (Can be certified or unlicensed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatrists</td>
<td>Psychologists</td>
<td>Certified Alcohol/Drug Counselor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction Medicine MDs</td>
<td>Clinical Social Workers</td>
<td>Case managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurses Practitioners</td>
<td>Licensed Counselors and Therapists</td>
<td>Peer and Mentor Roles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oregon is already experiencing a shortage of psychiatrists and addiction counselors, and those shortages are projected to worsen over the next decade.

Examples from DHHS Workforce Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>Supply</th>
<th>Adequacy of Supply Current</th>
<th>Adequacy of Supply 2030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>(170)</td>
<td>(240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse practitioners</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addiction Counselor</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>(60)</td>
<td>(510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Counselors</td>
<td>2790</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: Data unavailable for paraprofessional and non-traditional occupations
While each type of behavioral health position is critical to overall service delivery, the development lead time and cost varies widely.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prescribers</th>
<th>Formal Development*</th>
<th>Recommended Salary Estimates***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatrist</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>$261,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nurse Practitioner</td>
<td>6-8 years</td>
<td>$129,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychologist</td>
<td>8-10 years</td>
<td>$91,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMHP</td>
<td>8 years</td>
<td>$71,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Licensed Professionals</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUD Counselor CADC I</td>
<td>150 hours BA+</td>
<td>$38-48,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CADC II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMHA</td>
<td>3-4 years</td>
<td>$45,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below Bachelor Degree</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>$38,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peer</td>
<td>2 weeks**</td>
<td>$38,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Para-professionals**

*Includes time required for formal education and training for independent practice

** Peers also require significant meaningful life experience to inform practice

Source: Drawn from 2017 Health Share of Oregon Behavioral Health Rate Study Focus Group Recommendations (except psychologist and CADC salaries which were drawn from review of current Oregon job postings)
Oregon has a more developed peer support workforce than many other states, and there are opportunities to strengthen it.

Peer support specialists (PSS) have lived experience of mental illness and/or addiction issues, are in recovery, and are willing to disclose and utilize their experience to assist others in earlier states of recovery.

| PEER SUPPORT LITERATURE REVIEW* |
|---------------------------------
| Reduction in number and length of psychiatric hospitalizations |
| Improved treatment retention |
| Decrease in substance use |
| Decreased criminal and increased prosocial behaviors |
| Improved outcomes for individuals with SPMI |

Sources: The majority of studies on the impact of PSS services are on people with SUD’s, with or without CJ involvement. There are fewer studies specifically on CJ-related impact. Needs further study. Gulstad, J. M., (2018) Review of Peer Support Services in Criminal Justice Settings. Unpublished Manuscript not submitted for publication. For full list of articles reviewed, please see Appendix. For additional information regarding Medicaid healthcare felony exclusions, see Social Security Laws: Sec. 1128. [42 U.S.C. 1320a–7]
Oregon’s behavioral health workforce is least reflective of the state’s general population among black/African American and Hispanic/Latino populations.

**Race and Ethnicity of the Behavioral/ Mental Health Workforce as Compared to State Population Estimates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race / Ethnicity</th>
<th>Behavioral / Mental Health Workforce</th>
<th>Oregon’s Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian/Alaskan Native</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or more races</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic / Latino</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian / White population</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>88.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Access to mental health care is remarkably uneven across Oregon.

28 of 130 service areas had **no providers**

39 had **.5 or fewer providers** per 1000 people

Portland Downtown, Eugene/University and Portland Inner South had the highest ratios

Oregon could build on OHSU’s Oregon Psychiatric Access Line (OPAL) model by developing a system of regional hubs and spokes for a variety of specialty services.

Oregon already has some programs in place to support rural workforce development, but the impact of these programs is modest.

**Examples of Current Incentive Programs**

- **Loan Repayment Programs**
  Tax-free funds for qualified health care providers working in approved disciplines at eligible practice sites, in exchange for a service obligation.

- **Loan Forgiveness Programs**
  Tax-free funding for qualified students enrolled in an approved academic program(s) in exchange for a future service obligation.

- **Rural Medical Practitioners Insurance Subsidy Program**
  Provides partial payments to authorized medical professional liability insurance carriers on behalf of health care providers in rural Oregon who otherwise would have to pay the full cost of malpractice insurance themselves.

- **Tax Credits**
  Grants personal income tax credits to eligible rural providers

34.9% of Oregon’s population lives in rural and frontier communities

In 2017:

- 125 loan repayment and 32 loan forgiveness recipients were practicing in 118 rural or urban underserved sites.
- Oregon Rural Health approved loans for 4 students totaling $140,000 through the Primary Care Loan Forgiveness Program.

*Source: Oregon Office of Rural Health, 2017 Year-End Report*
Practical long- and short-term strategies can reduce barriers for recruitment to and retention of behavioral health positions.

**Key Recruitment and Retention Barriers**
- Compensation
- Work schedule
- Housing availability and quality
- Cultural activities
- Spousal employment
- Continuing education

**Short-term**
- Pay competitive wages and benefits to help recruit and retain existing workforce
- Leverage and expand tuition reimbursement and loan forgiveness programs
- Provide clinical supervision and mentoring
- Provide continuing education
- Ensure housing access
- Strengthen access to tele-health technology

**Longer-term**
- Initiate recruitment in high schools for rural areas
- Remove barriers to education access
- Strengthen cultural and linguistic workforce diversity
- Strengthen and utilize career ladders
- Strengthen rural access to specialized expertise (psychiatry, addiction medicine)

*In February 2019, the State Legislature will receive a Health Care Workforce Needs Assessment for communities and patients in Oregon (2017 HB 3261)*

Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

Workforce is critical to program success and must be included in program requirements along with adequate funding.

Require grantees to address workforce needs in program proposals, adequate to meet requested services needs:

- Recruitment
- Retention
- Workforce development and supports

Require development of minimum training standards along with annual continuing education for individuals and agencies providing services through the program.

Authorize a portion of the overall program funding to be applied to local workforce initiatives to support the program.
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Next Steps
Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

Develop a limited but critical set of statewide technical assistance services to support communities in designing, requesting, and implementing program services and supports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training Fund</th>
<th>Statewide Assistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Statewide training remotely or in person, mandatory or voluntary</td>
<td>1. DA resource prosecutor to consult on complex behavioral health cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Training or clinical supervision that could be used as a request</td>
<td>2. Technical assistance for troubleshooting program data collection requirements and information sharing between relevant parties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Provide/require mutual training for judges, district attorneys, and defense attorneys, behavioral health professionals, and law enforcement on complex cases involving defendants with behavioral health issues, best practices, and existing options in Oregon statute.</td>
<td>3. Strengthen statewide “hub and spoke” clinical supports for “hard-to-find” professional specialties, including addiction medicine and psychiatry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Support and services. Establish comprehensive community programming to improve outcomes for this population.

Statewide Program Assistance Policy Recommendations

- Authorize use of up to 20% of program funding for a system of statewide program supports that are deemed critical for program success.
- BHJR Committee designated spending authority
- Activities and spending under this provision to be included in annual reports to legislature.

Set aside up to 20% of overall funding to establish and sustain a set of critical statewide program supports.
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Next Steps
Evaluation, accountability, and innovation. Build a statewide system of strong and responsive local programs.

Quality Improvement

A robust and responsive system of program measures can improve system focus and refine approach to achieve public safety and health outcomes goals.
Evaluation, accountability, and innovation. Build a statewide system of strong and responsive local programs.

Quality Improvement

BHJR Committee

Legislature

Quality Improvement Subcommittee tasked with:

• Evaluating results at the local, regional, and statewide levels
• Providing guidance to assist local and regional participants to improve results
• Promoting and studying innovation
Evaluation, accountability, and innovation. Build a statewide system of strong and responsive local programs.

Quality Improvement Subcommittee

Suggested Membership

- Key state agencies
- Research universities
- Critical stakeholders
- Funders

In addition to improving practice, results from program data can be used to help contribute to both Oregon specific and national databases and literature.

Research Publications
Quality Improvement (QI) Recommendations

- Identify a lead agency responsible for developing and reporting outcomes to grantees, the BHJR Committee, and the legislature.
- Establish a system of meaningful, clear, and consistent program metrics.
- Establish the composition of the QI subcommittee.
- Structure the QI subcommittee to report to the BHJR Committee.
- Require the QI subcommittee to report at least annually to the BHJR Committee and legislature on its activities and spending.

Statute change

§ Set aside 5% of overall program funding to support QI functions with funding distributed to the lead agency.

Funding request
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Next Steps
Funding strategies. Establish a system of shared financing to sustainably support these programs.

Oregon can maximize the impact of local and state general fund investments by ensuring that all reimbursable health care services are provided leveraging federal financial participation (FFP) through Medicaid.

Traditional Medicaid
Disability-based

$1 spent by the state $1.67 federal dollars matched

~63% costs covered by federal match smaller eligible population

Medicaid Expansion
Income-based

$1 spent by the state $9.00 federal dollars matched

90% costs covered by federal match larger eligible population

Providing services through the Oregon Health Plan (OHP) also helps strengthen communication, collaboration, and coordination with other needed health care services.
Funding strategies. Establish a system of shared financing to sustainably support these programs.

Maximizing FFP and helping to ensure program services are integrated with broader Oregon health systems will require a series of actions supported by legislation.

- Require program providers to inquire about program participants’ OHP status and enroll all eligible program participants in Medicaid.

- Require grantees to routinely gather and report OHP status and enrollment data.

- Require OHA and grantees to work collaboratively to streamline enrollment and to minimize the length of time eligible program participants lack active coverage.

- Require program providers, when appropriate, to demonstrate their eligibility to provide Medicaid billable services and to bill for all Medicaid eligible services.

- Require OHA to review program service data at least annually with the goal of developing action steps that maximize health care service integration and FFP.
Funding strategies. Establish a system of shared financing to sustainably support these programs.

Medicaid Pilot Concept #1

Incentivize CCOs to help improve criminal justice along with health outcomes for targeted individuals for whom inadequately treated mental illnesses and/or substance addictions are a primary driver of the individual’s criminal justice involvement.

- An estimated 90% of the targeted “high impact” individuals will be OHP members or OPH eligible
- Leverages Oregon’s health care systems to address challenges well-suited for health system strategies (managing and coordinating care for individuals with complex conditions)
- Leverages federal financial participation
Funding strategies. Establish a system of shared financing to sustainably support these programs.

Medicaid Pilot Concept #2

CCO agrees to accept financial responsibility for primary and behavioral health care within one or more jails.

- Substantial majority of people booked into Oregon jails are expected to be OHP members or eligible for OHP
- Jail health care becomes integrated with the rest of Oregon’s OHP health care systems, increasing continuity of care and improving health outcomes
- Cost of care can be better managed
- CCOs incentivized to support services and practices that help reduce instances of incarceration, reducing associated criminal justice impacts and related costs
3 Funding strategies. Establish a system of shared financing to sustainably support these programs.

The two identified pilots could be established administratively, but key local and state agencies may benefit from legislative guidance and support.

- These pilots could be highly valuable in informing future practice and CCO contract development.
- Pilots would be of national interest.
- Pilots require participation by multiple agencies who may not find compelling reasons for participation.
- Strong commitments to technical assistance and financial incentives may stimulate interest.

Funding request Modest funding for technical assistance and performance incentives
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Next Steps
Next Steps

• Create an inventory of ideas reflecting agreement from today’s discussion

• Engagement with steering committee members in mid to late January

• Fourth and final steering committee meeting on Thursday, February 7
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Appendix

Peer Literature Review

The majority of studies on the impact of Peer Support services are on people with SUD’s, with or without CJ involvement. There are fewer studies specifically on CJ-related impact. Needs further study. Gulstad, J. M., (2018) Review of Peer Support Services in Criminal Justice Settings.
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